

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,731	09/18/2003	Shunpei Yamazaki	0553-0187.01	5614
75	12/01/2004		EXAMINER	
Edward D. Manzo			COLON, GERMAN	
Cook, Alex, Mo	Farron, Manzo,			
Cummings & Mehler, Ltd.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
200 West Adams St., Ste. 2850			2879	
Chicago, IL 60606			DATE MAILED: 12/01/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/664,731	YAMAZAKI ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		German Colón	2879		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address		
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Secondary</u>	eptember 2004.			
-	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims		•		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 60,62-65 and 67-76 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 60,62-65 and 67-76 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 72 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.			
Applicati	on Papers				
9)□ 10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 18 September 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	·			
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No. <u>09/587,369</u> . ed in this National Stage		
Attachmen 1) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)		
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infori	te of References Cited (FTO-092) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D			

Application/Control Number: 10/664,731 Page 2

Art Unit: 2879

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The Amendment, filed on September 7, 2004, has been entered and acknowledged by the

Examiner.

2. Cancellation of claims 61 and 66 has been entered.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 72 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 72 requires the leveling film to comprise a resin, however, claim 71 claims said leveling film comprising a resin.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 60, 62-65, 67, 69 and 71-76 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamazaki (US 5,990,542) in view of Yudasaka (US 6,359,606).

Regarding claim 60, Yamazaki discloses a method of manufacturing a display device comprising the steps of:

forming a plurality of TFTs over a substrate 101;

forming an insulating film 116 comprising a resin over the plurality of TFTs:

forming a passivation film 118 over the insulating film; and

forming a first electrode 121 over the passivation film, wherein said first electrode is electrically connected to one of said TFTs through a contact hole through said passivation film and insulating film (see Fig. 2B). Yamazaki teaches this structure to be used for driving an EL display (see Col. 1, lines 5-8) but is silent regarding the components of the EL display.

However, in the same field of endeavor, Yudasaka discloses an organic EL element comprising a first electrode, a light emitting layer formed on the first electrode and a second electrode formed on the light emitting layer, wherein the EL element is driven by an active matrix device comprising a plurality of TFTs. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide an EL layer and a second electrode to the device of Yamazaki since Yamazaki teaches the desirability of using the device for driving an EL display. Further, Yudasaka teaches to be conventional and well known in the art to drive an EL display with a device comprising a plurality of TFTs. Moreover, EL displays require a light emitting layer sandwiched between two electrodes.

Regarding claims 62 and 63, Yamazaki discloses the passivation film 118 comprising SiN.

Regarding claim 64, Yamazaki-Yudasaka discloses the EL material comprising an organic light emitting layer.

Referring to claim 65, Yamazaki-Yudasaka discloses the claimed invention except for the limitation of "forming a second passivation film over the EL element".

element from oxygen and moisture.

However, Yudasaka discloses an EL display having a passivation film made of silicon nitride over an electroluminescent element with the purpose of inhibiting the deterioration of the device by protecting the EL element from oxygen and moisture (see Col. 10, lines 65-67, in view of Col. 1, lines 50-53 and Col. 6, lines 55-57). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a passivation film over the electroluminescent element in order to inhibit the deterioration of the device by protecting the EL

Referring to claim 67, Yamazaki-Yudasaka discloses each of the first and second passivation films comprising SiN.

Referring to claim 69, Yamazaki discloses forming an insulating film 114 comprising SiN between the substrate and the plurality of TFTs.

Regarding claims 71 and 72, Yamazaki-Yudasaka discloses a method of manufacturing a display device comprising the steps of (see Fig. 2B of `542):

forming a plurality of TFTs over a substrate 101;

forming a leveling film 116 comprising a resin over the plurality of TFTs;

forming a passivation film 118 over the insulating film;

forming an EL element over the passivation film, said EL element comprising an anode, a cathode and a light emitting layer interposed therebetween. Same reasons for combining stated in claim 60 apply.

Regarding claim 73, Yamazaki-Yudasaka discloses the passivation film comprising SiN.

Regarding claim 74, Yamazaki-Yudasaka discloses the EL material comprising an organic light emitting layer.

Application/Control Number: 10/664,731

Art Unit: 2879

Referring to claims 75 and 76, Yamazaki-Yudasaka discloses a device and a method of manufacturing said device, comprising (see Fig. 2B of `542):

forming a TFT over a substrate 101;

forming a first insulating layer 114 comprising SiN or SiO_xN_y over the TFT;

forming a leveling film 116 comprising a resin over the first insulating film;

forming a second insulating film 118 comprising SiN;

forming a light emitting element (see US `606) over the second insulating film, said light emitting element comprising an anode, a cathode and an organic EL material interposed therebetween; and

forming a third insulating layer comprising SiN (see **60** in `606). Same reasons for combining stated in claims 60 and 65 apply.

6. Claims 68 and 70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamazaki-Yudasaka as applied to claim 65 above, and further in view of Kikukawa et al. (US 6,329,036).

Regarding claim 68, Yamazaki-Yudasaka discloses the passivation layers comprising silicon nitride, but is silent regarding the limitation of said layers comprising Si, Al, N, O and a rare earth element.

However, Kikukawa discloses a semiconductor device comprising an insulating film, and teaches a silicon nitride film and a rare earth-containing SiAlON film as art recognized equivalent materials. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a rare earth-containing SiAlON film, as disclosed by

Art Unit: 2879

Kikukawa, instead of a silicon nitride film, as disclosed by Yamazaki-Yudasaka, since Kikukawa

teaches both films to useful insulating materials and art recognized equivalents (see Col. 8, lines

9-13). Further, it has been held to be within the general skill of an artisan to select a known

material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice.

Regarding claim 70, claim 70 is rejected over the reasons stated in the rejection of claim

68.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in

view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this

Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/664,731

Art Unit: 2879

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to German Colón whose telephone number is 571-272-2451. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday, from 8:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nimesh Patel can be reached on 571-272-2457. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ZC gc

> NIMESHKUMAR D. PATEL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 7

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800